1	2	3	4
3.	RC.12/1999-DLI	04/3/1999	Investigation has since been completed. SP's report for grant of sanction for prosecution was sent to the concerned department on 5.9.2001 which is still awaited.
4.	RC.10/1999-P AT(Now in ACU-VII)	23/1/1999	The investigation in this case involves a vast area covering a number of districts in Bihar and involves collection and scrutiny of voluminous records relating to Budget Allocation and execution of works by PWD Bihar. The investigation is in progress.
5.	RC.2/1998-ACU-VIII DLI.	03/6/1998	After completion of investigation SP's report was forwarded to Speaker, Lok Sabha on 10.11.2000 for according sanction for prosecution against Shri C.K. Jaffar Sharief. The sanction for prosecution is still awaitied.
6.	RC.3/1994-K ER.	05/4/1994	This is a blind murder case. Considerable time was taken to identify the accused persons and trace them. Foreign investigation also took some time. However, field investigation of this case has been completed and the case is at the stage of legal scrutiny.

Prosecution of Senior Bureaucrats

440. SHRI AMAR SINGH: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) the number of requests received for the grant of sanction for prosecutions of the officers of the rank of Joint Secretaries and above, since April,2004 and out of which, in how many cases the sanction was accorded;

(b) the details of cases where the sanction was refused along with the reasons in each case; and

(c) whether Government propose to amend the Central Vigilance Commission Act to do away with the provision which grants immunity for

prosecution of the officers of the rank of Joint Secretary and above and if so, by when and if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS (SHRI SURESH PACHOURI): (a) and (b) Since April 2004, CBI has sought sanction for prosecution in 17 cases against officers of the rank of Joint Secretary & above. Out of these, sanctions have been accorded in 10 cases and refused in 1 case RC. 6/2003-MUM against the Deputy Director, Air India, Mumbai due to different interpretation of clause 4.3 of the agreement in question.

(c) The matter is *subjudice* in the Supreme Court.

Technical Division of Planning Commission

441. SHRI MANOJ BHATTACHARYA:
SHRI C. RAMACHANDRAIAH:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Technical Divisions of the Planning Commission like agriculture, education, rural development etc. are headed by the general officers of different services including some retired persons and people from non-Government sector who are neither experts nor professionally well-qualified;

(b) if so, the details thereof and the reasons therefor;

(c) whether such an arrangement is not leading to a deterioration in the professional competence of the Commission as a super think tank in National Planning; and

(d) if so, in what way Government propose to arrest this trend and attract men of eminence and excellence in the Commission?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING (SHRI M.V. RAJASEKHARAN): (a) The recruitment process for the posts mentioned in the Question is underway. Presently, pending completion of the recruitment process, these Divisions are headed by officers possessing suitable experience in the relevant fields.

(b) to (d) Do not arise.